1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
7	FOR THE DISTRICT OF ARIZONA	
8		
9	William Louis Huff,) No. CV 11-733-TUC-FRZ (BPV)
10	Petitioner,	ORDER
11	VS.	
12	Charles L. Ryan, et al.,	
13	Respondents.))
14		
15		
16	Pending before the Court is a Report and Recommendation issued by United States	
17	Magistrate Judge Velasco that recommends denying Petitioner's habeas petition filed	
18	pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §2254. ¹ As Petitioner's objections do not undermine the analysis and	
19	proper conclusion reached by Magistrate Judge Velasco, Petitioner's objections are rejected	
20	and the Report and Recommendation is adopted.	
21	Before Petitioner can appeal this Court's judgment, a certificate of appealability must	
22	issue. See 28 U.S.C. §2253(c) and Fed. R. App. P. 22(b)(1). Federal Rule of Appellate	
23	Procedure 22(b) requires the district court that rendered a judgment denying the petition made pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §2254 to "either issue a certificate of appealability or state why	
24	made pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §2254 to en	ther issue a certificate of appearability or state why
25		
2627	_	ected-to portions of the Report and Recommendation.
28	28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1); Fed. R. Civ. P. 72(b). The Court reviews for clear error the unobjected-to-portions of the Report and Recommendation. <i>Johnson v. Zema Systems Corp.</i> , 170 F.3d 734, 739	
∠0	(7th Cir. 1999); see also Conley v. Crabtree	e, 14 F. Supp. 2d 1203, 1204 (D. Or. 1998).

a certificate should not issue." Additionally, 28 U.S.C. §2253(c)(2) provides that a certificate may issue "only if the applicant has made a substantial showing of the denial of a constitutional right." In the certificate, the court must indicate which specific issues satisfy this showing. See 28 U.S.C. §2253(c)(3). A substantial showing is made when the resolution of an issue of appeal is debatable among reasonable jurists, if courts could resolve the issues differently, or if the issue deserves further proceedings. See Slack v. McDaniel, 529 U.S. 473, 484-85 (2000). Upon review of the record in light of the standards for granting a certificate of appealability, the Court concludes that a certificate shall not issue as the resolution of the petition is not debatable among reasonable jurists and does not deserve further proceedings. Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED as follows: (1) The Report and Recommendation (Doc. 18) is accepted and adopted. (2) Petitioner's §2254 habeas petition is denied and this case is dismissed with prejudice. All other pending motions and requests are denied. (3) A Certificate of Appealability is denied and shall not issue. (4) The Clerk of the Court shall enter judgment accordingly and close the file in this matter.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

DATED this 8th day of January, 2013.

Senior United States District Judge